3MT® Competition Judging Rubric

PRESENTER: ____________________________________

3MT TITLE: ____________________________________

SCORING CALIBRATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Does not meet expectations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Demonstrates competency but some major weaknesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Demonstrates competency but some significant weaknesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Good, but some flaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Very good only very minor flaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Excellent, almost flawless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Outstanding, no flaws</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please include a score between 1 and 7 in the 2 sections below. The competitor will then be provided with an overall score out of 14.

COMPREHENSION AND CONTENT

Presentation provided clear background and significance to the research question

Presentation clearly described the research strategy/design and the results/findings of the research

Presentation clearly described the conclusions, outcomes and impact of the research

Score out of 7

ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATION

The oration was delivered clearly, and the language was appropriate for a non-specialist audience

The PowerPoint slide was well-defined and enhanced the presentation

The presenter conveyed enthusiasm for their research and captured and maintained the audience’s attention

Score out of 7

OVERALL SCORE /14

COMMENTS
This very brief comment will be used to provide feedback to unsuccessful entrants via email. Please identify one positive and one area for improvement (the most obvious area) e.g. xxx was good but you need to work on xxx

_____________________________________________________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________________________________________________